

Your name & address

Date

FAO: Jim Harra

Chief Executive & Collector of Taxes

HM Revenue and Customs

1 Horse Guards Rd

LONDON SW1A 2HQ

Dear Mr Harra

Notice to principal is notice to agent; notice to agent is notice to principal.

Please note that your letter dated ----- does not constitute a satisfactory reply to my communication dated ----- . You have not demonstrated my obligation to fill in a tax return. You have not proven to me that my consent is not required for legislation to apply.

Your Notices of Penalty Assessment for the years ended 5th April 2021 and 2022 are unfounded in law.

The Bill of Rights 1688 clearly states that from February of that year, the Sovereign, the Lords Spiritual and Temporal and the House of Commons assembled, acting as agents (representatives) for the People cannot enact anything the People might not consent to.

*“And they doe Claime Demand and Insist upon all and singular the Premises as their undoubted Rights and Liberties and that noe Declarations Judgements Doeings or Proceedings to the Prejudice of the People in any of the said Premises ought in any wise to be drawne hereafter into Consequence or Example.”*

I cannot imagine that you do not know that this means that the People individually decide whether they consent to any legislation that would follow or not. You may be familiar with the adage often repeated by the Police and the Home Office: In this country we police by consent.

You may or may not be aware that earlier this year Matt Hancock was grilled by Susanna Reid on breakfast TV when she accused him of having broken the law during the lockdowns and he repeatedly defended himself by countering that he had

not followed the guidelines. Legislation is therefore only guideline as far as he is concerned and he should know.

You may also be aware that Matt Hancock in his *WhatsApp* exchanges during the Covid 'crisis' with colleagues, which were recently revealed in the Telegraph, mocked people who had subjected themselves to quarantine 'out of their own free will'. If Matt Hancock knows the difference between binding Law and optional legislation, I suspect you do as well. If not, please would you inform yourself and encourage your staff to do the same. What Matt Hancock has not grasped is that being part of the group of people who passed the legislation and therefore consented to it, he is bound by it. In due course he will come to realise this.

Having by 31st January 2021 come to the conclusion that HM Government and Parliament assembled were acting to the prejudice of the People and arguably doing everything they could to kill mostly old people through the administration of Midazolam and Morphine or failing to call an early halt to the administration of the experimental so called vaccines as soon as the MHRA's Yellow Card system started to reveal the toxicity thereof, it became unconscionable for me to facilitate such crimes through consenting to contribute to HM Revenue in any way. I also need to add that our Government and therefore the Sovereign and the House assembled are following a globalist agenda that is treasonous to the British People. Hence my challenge for you to demonstrate my obligation to pay any tax to support these domestic crimes against the People.

I now have also come to realise that HMRC is acting illegally and its staff and agents are breaking the law and committing serious crimes by *demanding, collecting and paying taxes* into the Consolidated Fund, knowing that some of the money will be used for criminal purposes of war, mass murder and genocide abroad.

Therefore, I must ask you and the Commissioners to stop transferring taxpayers' money into or out of the Consolidated Fund until you receive confirmation from HM Government, Parliament and the High Court that the criminal offences of mass murder, crimes against humanity and genocide, currently being planned and committed by Britain's political, civil, judicial, medical and military leaders and taxpayers, have ended and criminal proceedings against individual and corporate offenders have begun.

When HM Government ratified the International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism and the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, Parliament enacted the Terrorism Act 2000 and the International Criminal Court Acts 2001 making it a serious criminal offence in Britain for a person *to fund acts of war, terrorism or genocide*.

Now that the High Court, the Supreme Court and Parliament's Independent Reviewer of Terrorism Legislation have acknowledged that the military and quasi-military actions of the British Government, HM military forces and Britain's armed police are terrorism, it is incumbent on every adult taxpayer in Britain to withhold all funds from Parliament and HM Government until the crimes have ended and we receive incontrovertible proof that Parliament and HM Government are acting in full accord with the UN Charter and the UN Declaration on Principles of International Law.

We all know that buying and then using high-explosive bombs, rockets, missiles and guns to attack targets in towns and cities, injuring and killing thousands of innocent men women and children is wrong and is the world's worst crime, so why do we do it? Why do normally upright, honest politicians, civil servants, military commanders, law enforcement officers, bankers and lawyers issue or follow orders that they know to be wrong, evil and criminal?

I realise that this claim that Ministers, public officials and taxpayers are committing the world's most serious offences, will be instantly denied by those involved. This automatic denial of the truth is not surprising when one discovers that Parliament, the civil service, the armed forces, law enforcement authorities and the British public have been deceived for decades by government Ministers and lawyers lying about the legality of war, lying about the safety of Covid 19 vaccinations, lying about immunity from prosecution of State officials and perennially omitting to tell the truth about the illegality of war and the use of force.

Under no circumstances whatsoever will I take any further part in knowingly condoning, supporting or financing warfare, crimes against humanity, genocide, fraud or any criminal activity that leads to the injury or death of innocent men, women and children.

To this end, I am placing all taxes, fines etc. that you successfully demonstrate to be due to HM Government into a discretionary, conditional, revocable trust for payment to the Primary Beneficiary when Britain's illegal wars and preparations for war have ended and Ministers, public officials, military commanders, law enforcement officers as well as individual and corporate taxpayers are upholding, obeying and enforcing the laws governing war, genocide, crimes against humanity and their funding detailed in the attached Declaration of Sovereignty and Deed of Trust.

Should you and your colleagues in HM Treasury, HMRC and HM Government fail to meet the terms and conditions set out in the Trust Deed by April 5th 2024, I as trustee of the trust, shall pay all the money to the Secondary Beneficiary and consider myself as settlor and trustee free of any duty or responsibility to pay any money, taxes or other property to Parliament or HM Government to support any of their activities whether lawful or criminal. I will replenish the trust funds annually in accordance with the Trust Deed until this nation stops meddling criminally in others' affairs.

I look forward to your reply, receipt of the evidence requested and, by implication, confirmation of my immunity from prosecution.

Respectfully,

Regina 'v' Gul UKSC 64 (2013) Paragraphs 26 and 28

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-terrorism-acts-in-2012-report-of-the-independent-reviewer>